X-ray reflectometry of thin films: possibilities and perspectives

Igor Kozhevnikov^a, Luca Peverini^b, and Eric Ziegler^b

^a Institute of Crystallography, Leninsky prospect 59, Moscow 119333, Russia ^b European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, BP 220, 38043, Grenoble cedex, France

The X-ray reflectometry technique considered here consists of measuring and analyzing the reflectance of a thin film versus grazing angle and the angular distribution of radiation scattered by roughness. This is a unique method for studying matter both in depth (stratified inhomogeneities) and in the lateral direction (roughness) with subnanometer resolution.

Current possibilities and future perspectives of X-ray reflectometry for studying the 3D structure of thin films are examined. In particular, the following topics are discussed:

- Relation between the Distorted Wave Born Approximation method and the perturbation theory on the roughness height [1]. Discussion on the suitability of these methods for the analysis of roughness.
- Unique determination from a single scattering diagram of the two Power Spectral Density functions (PSD_{ff} and PSD_{sf}) characterizing the topology of a thin film deposited on a substrate [2, 3].
- In situ X-ray reflectometry of a growing/eroded film: determination of the scaling exponents, experimental determination of data collapse for the PSD-functions, establishment of a (nonlinear) differential equation describing the process of film growth/erosion derived directly from experimental data, variation of the roughness conformity with film growth/erosion, exact solution of the phase problem [2-8].
- Inverse problem of X-ray reflectometry, i.e., reconstruction of the dielectric constant profile from reflectivity curve measurements [9]. Discussion on the possibility to determine, from reflectivity measurements, the depth-distribution of the atomic concentration of chemical elements composing a structure.
- Self-consistent approach to study the 3D morphology of a thin film, i.e., determination of the roughness parameters and reconstruction of the depth-distribution of the dielectric constant at once [10].

The results presented are based on a series of experiments performed at the BM5 beamline of the ESRF.

One of the authors (I.K.) was supported by the ISTC (project #3124).

References

- 1. I.V. Kozhevnikov and M.V. Pyatakhin, J. X-Ray Sci. Technol., 8, 253 (2000).
- 2. L. Peverini, E. Ziegler, T. Bigault, and I. Kozhevnikov, Phys. Rev. B, 76, 045411 (2007).
- 3. L. Peverini, I. Kozhevnikov, and E. Ziegler, Thin Solid Films, 515, 5541 (2007).
- 4. E. Ziegler, L. Peverini, I.V. Kozhevnikov, T. Weitkamp, and C. David, AIP Conf. Proc., 2007, Vol.879, p. 778.
- 5. L. Peverini, E. Ziegler, T. Bigault, and I. Kozhevnikov, Phys. Rev. B, 72, 045445 (2005).
- 6. L. Peverini, I. Kozhevnikov, and E. Ziegler, Phys. Stat. Sol. (a), 204, 2785 (2007).
- 7. L. Peverini, E. Ziegler, and I. Kozhevnikov, Appl. Phys. Letters 91, 053121 (2007).
- 8. I. Kozhevnikov, L. Peverini, and E. Ziegler, "Exact determination of the phase in time-resolved x-ray reflectometry", submitted to Optics Express.
- 9. I.V. Kozhevnikov, Nucl. Instrum. Methods A, 508, 519 (2003).
- 10. I. Kozhevnikov, L. Peverini, and E. Ziegler, "Development of self-consistent approach to study 3D morphology of a thin film", will be published.